

Geodynamic processes and biochemical interactions at seafloor spreading ridges
Symposium Jean Francheteau & Summer School
Brest - August 27-31, 2012

Synthesis of conference evaluation

1 - How did you learn about this symposium ans summer school?


Your university/institute 13

Other 3

	■ Internet ■ Your university/institute ■ Other
--	------------------------------------------------

2 - Please tell us how satisfied or dissatisfied you were with the following

	Very satisfied	Satisfied	Dissatisfied
Registration process	16	2	
Conference materials provided	13	5	
Organizers	18		
Speakers and presenters	14	4	
Training modules	11	7	
Conference facilities	13	5	
Housing	4	5	4
Overall program	14	4	
Number of attendees	14	4	
Overall impression	15	3	



Which of the training module and/or lecture did you like the most ?:

Petrology (10) // Stable isotopes logging // Biogeochemistry modules // Geodynamic modeling (2) // Seafloor mineral deposits (3) // Geology // O. Rouxel's lecture // Training with B. Glazer and lecture (2) // Second day of conference and first day of summer school

Which of the training module and/or lecture did you like the least?

Training modules // Biochemical part // Geochemical techniques // Training on spectroscopy // Geodynamic modeling (6)

On which occasion, did you have most exchange and discussion with other participants?

Training sessions 9

Coffee and lunch breaks 13

After the end of afternoon session



Did you feel the length of conference sessions were too long, just about right, or too short?

Too long 3

6

Just about right 15

Too short 0



Please tell us how much you agree or disagree with the following statements.

The content of conference sessions was appropriate and informative.

Strongly Agree

Agree 7

11

7

Disagree

Strongly Disagree




The conference was well organized.

Strongly Agree 11

Agree

Disagree

Strongly Disagree



Conference staff was helpful and courteous.

Strongly Agree 16

Agree 2

Disagree

Strongly Disagree



What kinds of sessions/topics would you like to see included at future conferences?


Seafloor fluid-flow/ Numerical modeling // Hydrothemalism physics (2) // Technological advances // Where the sediment comes from ? // More informations about abyssal plains and continental margins, not only ridges // Basic microbiology // Organic geochemisty of hydrothermal vents (2)

What did you like most about the conference?

Meeting researcher and Phd's on same topics // Brest (nice place), Organizer (friendly helpful), Participants (informative), Food // Summer school day 1 + symposium day 2 // Interaction with other scientists // Getting different relevant disciplines together // Training sessions // Data integration // Training sessions alterning with lectures // All about deep systems // Diversity of topics // Comprehensive treatment of seafloor hydro-thermal processes

What did you like least about the conference?

Accommodation too far and poor food (Yourth hostel) // Not enought time for discussions // Some conference too long (2) // that is over !! // Weather // Long travel from housing (youth hostel) to university



What was you expectation of this summer school and did you fulfill them?

Meeting people and learn about new aspects // Meeting the community // Exchanging ideas // Summer school with good quality and interesting speakers // Getting newest information // A bit disappointed by the small amount of persons looking at posters

In what ways could this conference be improved?

Better accommodations, closer to University (3) // Less dense program each day // Longer training sessions // Making hands-on training sessions for each subject covered // More room for discussions

Registered Participants

